arXiv:1412.2380v1 [math.DS] 7 Dec 2014

# A note on the relation between a singular linear discrete time system and a singular linear system of fractional nabla difference equations

Charalambos P. Kontzalis[1] and Grigoris Kalogeropoulos[2]

[1]Department of Informatics, Ionian University, Corfu, Greece

[2]Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Greece

**Abstract:** In this article we focus our attention on the relation between a singular linear discrete time system and a singular linear system of fractional nabla difference equations whose coefficients are square constant matrices. By using matrix pencil theory, first we give necessary and sufficient condition to obtain a unique solution for the continuous time model. After by assuming that the input vector changes only at equally space sampling instants, we shall derive the corresponding discrete time state equation which yield the values of the solutions of the continuous time model which will connect the initial system to the singular linear system of fractional nabla difference equations. .

**Keywords**: linear state space equations, pencil, discrete time system, matrix differential equations, fractional.

# 1 Introduction

Many authors have studied generalized continuous & discrete time systems, see [3-6, 10-12, 14-16, 19, 23, 24, 29-34, 37-39, 44-46], and their applications, see [2, 3, 20-22, 25, 26, 48-50, 53, 54]. Many of these results have already been extended to systems of differential & difference equations with fractional operators, see [1, 13, 17, 18, 33-36, 40-42]. In this article, our purpose is to study the relation between a singular linear discrete time system and a singular linear system of fractional nabla difference equations whose coefficients are square constant matrices, into the mainstream of matrix pencil theory. We consider the singular matrix equation

$$FY'(t) = GY(t) + BV(t) \tag{1}$$

with known initial conditions

$$Y(t_0) \tag{2}$$

where $F, G \in \mathcal{M}(m \times m; \mathcal{F})$, $B \in \mathcal{M}(m \times r; \mathcal{F})$, $V(t) \in \mathcal{M}(r \times 1; \mathcal{F})$, $Y(t) \in \mathcal{M}(m \times 1; \mathcal{F})$ (i.e., the algebra of square matrices with elements in the field $\mathcal{F}$). For the sake of simplicity, we set $\mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}(m \times m; \mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{nm} = \mathcal{M}(n \times m; \mathcal{F})$. We assume that the system (1) is singular, i.e. the matrix $F$ is singular.

Difference equations of fractional order have recently proven to be valuable tools in the modeling of many phenomena in various fields of science and engineering. If we define $\mathbb{N}_\alpha$

by $\mathbb{N}_\alpha = \{\alpha, \alpha+1, \alpha+2, ...\}$, $\alpha$ integer, and $n$ such that $0 < n < 1$ or $1 < n < 2$, then the nabla fractional operator in the case of Riemann-Liouville fractional difference of $n$-th order for any $Y_k : \mathbb{N}_a \to \mathbb{R}^{m\times 1}$ is defined by, see [2-4, 29, 40],

$$\nabla_\alpha^n Y_k = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-n)} \sum_{j=\alpha}^{k} (k-j+1)^{\overline{-n-1}} Y_j,$$

where the raising power function is defined by

$$k^{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{\Gamma(k+\alpha)}{\Gamma(k)}.$$

We considered the following singular fractional discrete time system of the form

$$F\nabla_0^n Y_k = GY_k + V_k, \quad k = 1, 2, ... \ , \tag{3}$$

Where $F, G$ as defined for (1), $Y_k, V_k \in \mathcal{M}_{m1}(m \times 1; \mathcal{F})$.

**Definition 1.1.** Given $F, G \in \mathcal{M}_{rm}$ and an arbitrary $s \in \mathcal{F}$, the matrix pencil $sF - G$ is called:

1. Regular when $r = m$ and $\det(sF - G) \neq 0$;
2. Singular when $r \neq m$ or $r = m$ and $\det(sF - G) \equiv 0$.

In this article, we consider the case of the system (1) with a regular pencil. The class of $sF - G$ is characterized by a uniquely defined element, known as complex Weierstrass canonical form, $sF_w - G_w$, see [23, 31, 33, 42], specified by the complete set of invariants of $sF - G$. This is the set of elementary divisors obtained by factorizing the invariant polynomials into powers of homogeneous polynomials irreducible over the field $\mathcal{F}$. In the case where $sF - G$ is regular, we have elementary divisors of the following type:

- elementary divisors of the type $(s - a_j)^{p_j}$, *are called finite elementary divisors*, where $a_j$ is a finite eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity $p_j$;
- elementary divisors of the type $\hat{s}^q = \frac{1}{s^q}$, are called *infinite elementary divisors*, where $q$ is the algebraic multiplicity of the infinite eigenvalues.

We assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} p_j = p$ and $p + q = m$.

**Definition 1.2.** Let $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_l$ be elements of $\mathcal{M}_m$. The direct sum of them denoted by $B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_l$ is the blockdiag$\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \ldots & B_l \end{bmatrix}$.

From the regularity of $sF - G$ there exist non-singular matrices $P$, $Q \in \mathcal{M}_m$ such that

$$PFQ = F_w = I_p \oplus H_q,$$
$$PGQ = G_w = J_p \oplus I_q. \tag{4}$$

The complex Weierstrass form $sF_w - Q_w$ of the regular pencil $sF - G$ is defined by

$$sF_w - Q_w := sI_p - J_p \oplus sH_q - I_q,$$

where the first normal Jordan-type element is uniquely defined by the set of the finite eigenvalues of $sF - G$ and has the form

$$sI_p - J_p := sI_{p_1} - J_{p_1}(a_1) \oplus \ldots \oplus sI_{p_\nu} - J_{p_\nu}.(a_\nu).$$

The second uniquely defined block $sH_q - I_q$ corresponds to the infinite eigenvalues of $sF - G$ and has the form

$$sH_q - I_q := sH_{q_1} - I_{q_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus sH_{q_\sigma} - I_{q_\sigma}.$$

The matrix $H_q$ is a nilpotent element of $\mathcal{M}_q$ with index $q^* = \max\{q_j : j = 1, 2, \ldots, \sigma\}$, i.e.

$$H_q^{q^*} = 0_{q,q}.$$

The matrices $I_{p_j}, J_{p_j}(a_j), H_{q_j}$ are defined as

$$I_{p_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{p_j},$$

$$J_{p_j}(a_j) = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_j & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_j & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_j \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{p_j},$$

$$H_{q_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{q_j}.$$

For algorithms about the computations of the Jordan matrices, see [28, 39, 39, 47].

# 2 The solution of a singular linear state space equation

Firstly, we will derive the corresponding discrete time state equation which yield the values of $Y(t)$ at $t_k = k \cdot T$ for $k \geq 0$. We will use the same method as used in [39]. In order to do so, we obtain formulas for the solutions of (1) with a regular matrix pencil and we give necessary and sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of solutions.

**Theorem 2.1.** Consider the system (1), (2) and let the $p$ linear independent (generalized) eigenvectors of the finite eigenvalues of the pencil $sF - G$ be the columns of a matrix $Q_p$. Then the solution is unique if and only if

$$Y(t_0) \in colspan Q_p + QK(t_0) \tag{5}$$

Moreover the analytic solution is given by

$$Y(t) = Q_p e^{J_p(t-t_0)} Z_p(t_0) + QK(t) \tag{6}$$

where $K(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{t_0}^{t} e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds \\ -\sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^i(t) \end{bmatrix}$ and $PB = \begin{bmatrix} B_p \\ B_q \end{bmatrix}$, with $B_p \in M_{pm}$, $B_q \in M_{qm}$.

**Proof.** Consider the transformation

$$Y(t) = QZ(t) \tag{7}$$

Substituting the previous expression in to (1) we obtain

$$FQZ'(t) = GQZ(t) + BV(t).$$

Whereby, multiplying by $P$, we arrive at

$$F_w Z(t) = G_w Z(t) + PBV(t).$$

Moreover, we can write $Z(t)$ as $Z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_p(t) \\ Z_q(t) \end{bmatrix}$. Taking into account the above expressions, we arrive easily at two subsystems of (1). The subsystem

$$Z_p'(t) = J_p Z_p(t) + B_p V(t) \tag{8}$$

and the subsystem

$$H_q Z_q'(t) = Z_q(t) + B_q V(t) \tag{9}$$

The subsystem (8) has the unique solution

$$Z_p(t) = e^{J_p(t-t_0)} Z_p(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds, t \geq t_0. \tag{10}$$

see [2, 7, 8-10, 48-52]. By applying the Laplace transform we get the solution of subsystem (9)

$$Z_q(t) = -\sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^{(i)}(t) \tag{11}$$

Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} Q_p & Q_q \end{bmatrix}$, where $Q_p \in M_{mp}$, $Q_q \in M_{mq}$ the matrices with columns the $p$, $q$ generalized eigenvectors of the finite and infinite eigenvalues respectively. Then we obtain

$$Y(t) = QZ(t) = [Q_p Q_q] \begin{bmatrix} e^{J_p(t-t_0)} Z_p(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds \\ -\sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^{(i)}(t), \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y(t) = Q_p e^{J_p(t-t_0)} Z_p(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds - Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^{(i)}(t).$$

$$Y(t) = Q_p e^{J_p(t-t_0)} Z_p(t_0) + QK(t).$$

The solution that exists if and only if

$$Y(t_0) = Q_p Z_p(t_0) + QK(t_0),$$

or

$$Y(t_0) \in colspan Q_p + QK(t_0).$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume the system (1) with initial conditions (2). Then if (5) holds the unique solution is given from the formula

$$Y(t) = F(t,t_0)Y(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} F(t,s) Q_p B_p V(s) ds + Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q (V^i(t_0) - V^i(t)), \quad (12)$$

where

$$F(t,t_0) = Q \begin{bmatrix} e^{J_p(t-t_0)} & 0_{p,q} \\ 0_{q,p} & I_p \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} \quad (13)$$

and

$$F(t,s) = Q \begin{bmatrix} e^{J_p(t-s)} & 0_{p,q} \\ 0_{q,p} & I_p \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

**Proof.** From (6) the solution of (1) is

$$Y(t) = Q_p e^{J_p(t-t_0)} Z_p(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} Q_p e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds - Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^{(i)}(t),$$

or,

$$Y(t) = Q_p e^{J_p(t-t_0)} Z_p(t_0) + Q_q Z_q(t_0) - Q_q Z_q(t_0) +$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^{t} Q_p e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds - Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^{(i)}(t),$$

or

$$Y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Q_p & Q_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{J_p(t-t_0)} & 0_{p,q} \\ 0_{q,p} & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_p(t_0) \\ Z_q(t_0) \end{bmatrix} +$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^{t} Q_p e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds + Q_q(-Z_q(t_0) - \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^{(i)}(t)). \quad (15)$$

By substituting $\begin{bmatrix} Z_p(t_0) \\ Z_q(t_0) \end{bmatrix} = Q^{-1} Y(t_0)$ and $-Z_q(t_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q V^{(i)}(t_0)$, we get

$$Y(t) = F(t,t_0)Y(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} Q_p e^{J_p(t-s)} B_p V(s) ds + Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q (V^{(i)}(t_0) - V^{(i)}(t)) \quad (16)$$

Let $Q^{-1}$ be the inverse matrix of $Q$ defined as $Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_p \\ \bar{Q}_q \end{bmatrix}$, with $\bar{Q}_p \in M_{pn}$ and $\bar{Q}_q \in M_{qn}$. Then

$$Q^{-1}Q = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_p \\ \bar{Q}_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_p & Q_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_p Q_p & \bar{Q}_p Q_q \\ \bar{Q}_q Q_p & \bar{Q}_q Q_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

From where we get $\bar{Q}_p Q_p = I_p$, $\bar{Q}_p Q_q = 0_{p,q}$, $\bar{Q}_q Q_p = 0_{p,q}$, $\bar{Q}_q Q_p = I_q$. Then

$$F(t,s)Q_p = Q \begin{bmatrix} e^{J_p(t-t_0)} & 0_{p,q} \\ 0_{q,p} & I_q \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} Q_p,$$

or

$$F(t,s)Q_p = \begin{bmatrix} Q_p & Q_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{J_p(t-t_0)} & 0_{p,q} \\ 0_{q,p} & I_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ 0_{q,p} \end{bmatrix},$$

or

$$F(t,s)Q_p = Q_p e^{J_p(t-s)}$$

and thus

$$.Y(t) = F(t,t_0)Y(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} F(t,s)Q_p B_p V(s)ds + Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q (V^i(t_0) - V^i(t)).$$

In the following analysis, we will use the notation $V(t) = V(kT)$ and $Y_k = Y(kT)$. We assume that the input $V(t)$ is sampled and fed to a zero order hold, so that all the components of $V(t)$ are constant over the interval between any two consecutive sampling instants, or for $kT \le t \le (k+1)T$. Thus $V(t)$ changes only during the time periods $t_k = kT, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ . For $t = kT$, (12) takes the form

$$Y_k = F(kT,0)Y_0 + \int_0^{kT} F(kT,s)Q_p B_p V(s)ds + Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q (V^{(i)}(0) - V^{(i)}(kT)) \quad (17)$$

and for $t = (k+1)T$ in (12),

$$Y_{k+1} = F((k+1)T,0)Y_0 + \int_0^{(k+1)T} F((k+1)T,s)Q_p B_p V(s)ds +$$

$$+ Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q (V^{(i)}(0) - V^{(i)}((k+1)T)).$$

By multiplying (17) with $F(T,0)$ and taking into account that

$$F(T,0)F(kT,0) = F((k+1)T,0), \quad F(T,0)F(kT,s) = F((k+1)T,s)$$

and

$$F(T,0)Y_k = F((k+1)T,0)Y_0 + \int_0^{kT} F((k+1)T,s)Q_p B_p V(s)ds +$$

$$+F(T,0)Q_q\sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1}H_q^iB_q(V^{(i)}(0)-V^{(i)}(kT)).$$

In addition,

$$Y_{k+1}-F(T,0)Y_k=\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,s)Q_pB_pV(s)ds+$$

$$+Q_q\sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1}H_q^iB_q(V^{(i)}(0)-V^{(i)}((k+1)T))-F(T,0)Q_q\sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1}H_q^iB_q(V^{(i)}(0)-V^{(i)}(kT)).$$

We should note that $V(t)$ may jump at $t=(k+1)T$ and thus $V((k+1)T)$ may be different from $V(kT)$. Such a jump in $V(t)$ at $t=(k+1)T$, the upper limit of integration, does not affect the value of the integral in this last equation, because the integrand does not involve impulse functions. Thus by considering the substitution $s=kT+w$ in the following integral

$$\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,s)Q_pB_pV(s)ds=\int_0^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,kT+w)Q_pB_pV(kT+w)dw,$$

or

$$\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,s)Q_pB_pV(s)ds=\int_0^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,kT+w)dwQ_pB_pV_k$$

and by using the relations

$$\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,s)Q_pB_pV(s)ds=\int_0^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,kT)F(0,w)dwQ_pB_pV_k,$$

or

$$\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,s)Q_pB_pV(s)ds=\int_0^{(k+1)T}F(T,0)F(kT,kT)F(0,w)dwQ_pB_pV_k,$$

and since $F(kT,kT)=I_m$

$$\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,s)Q_pB_pV(s)ds=\int_0^{(k+1)T}F(T,0)F(0,w)dwQ_pB_pV_k.$$

Furthermore since $F(T,0)F(0,w)=F(T,w)=F(T-w,0)=F(l,0)$, for $l=T-w$,

$$\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T}F((k+1)T,s)Q_pB_pV(s)ds=\int_0^{(k+1)T}F(l,0)dwQ_pB_pV_k.$$

Using the approximation $V_k^{(i)}=V^{(i)}(kT)\cong\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^j\binom{i}{j}V_{k-i+j}}{T^i}$ and the obvious relation $V^{(i)}(0)-V^{(i)}(kT)=(-T)V_{k+1}^{(i+1)}$, by setting $V_{k+1}^{(i+1)}\cong\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i+1}(-1)^j\binom{i+1}{j}V_{k-i+j}}{T^{i+1}}$ we have

$$V_k^{(i)}-V_{k+1}^{(i+1)}\cong\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\binom{i+1}{j}V_{k-i+j}}{T^i}.$$

Then

$$Y_{k+1} \cong F(T,0)Y_k + \int_0^{(k+1)T} F(l,0)dwQ_pB_pV_k + Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\binom{i+1}{j}V_{k-i+j}}{T^i}. \tag{18}$$

**Remark 2.1.** The term $V_{k+i}$ in the state of discrete time system is just in correspondence with the term $V^{(i)}(t)$ in continuous time system.

Let

$$A_k = F(T,0), \quad U_k = \int_0^{(k+1)T} F(l,0)dwQ_pB_pV_k + Q_q \sum_{i=0}^{q_*-1} H_q^i B_q \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i+1}(-1)^{j+1}\binom{i+1}{j}V_{k-i+j}}{T^i}$$

and

$$Y_{k+1} = A_kY_k + U_k.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{gathered} Y_k = A_{k-1}Y_{k-1} + U_{k-1}, \\ Y_{k-1} = A_{k-2}Y_{k-2} + U_{k-2}, \\ Y_{k-2} = A_{k-3}Y_{k-3} + U_{k-3}, \\ Y_{k-4} = A_{k-4}Y_{k-4} + U_{k-4}, \\ \vdots \\ Y_2 = A_1Y_1 + U_1, \\ \\ Y_1 = A_0Y_0 + U_0. \end{gathered}$$

By taking the sum of the above equations,

$$Y_k = (A_{k-1} - I_m)Y_{k-1} + (A_{k-2} - I_m)Y_{k-2} + ... + (A_1 - I_m)Y_1 + A_0Y_0 + U_{k-1} + ... + U_1 + U_0,$$

for

$$A_{k-j} - I_m = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-n)}(k-j)^{\overline{-n-1}}F, \quad V_k = U_{k-1} + ... + U_1 + U_0,$$

we arrive at (3).

# Conclusions

In this article we focused our attention on the relation between a singular linear discrete time system and a singular linear system of fractional nabla difference equations whose coefficients are square constant matrices. We derived the corresponding discrete time matrix equation which yield the values of the solutions of the continuous time model which connects the initial system to a singular linear system of fractional nabla difference equations.

# References


[1] F. M. Atici, P.W. Eloe, *Linear systems of fractional nabla difference equations*, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 353370, (2011).

[2] R. Ben Taher and M. Rachidi; *Linear matrix differential equations of higher-order and applications*, E. J. of Differential Eq., Vol. 2008 (2008), No. 95, pp. 1-12.

[3] S. L. Campbell, C.D. Meyer and N.J. Rose ; *Applications of the Drazin inverse to linear systems of differential equations with singular constant coefficients*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 31(3) 411-425, (1976).

[4] S. L. Campbell; *Comments on 2-D descriptor systems*, Automatica (Journal of IFAC), v.27 n.1, p.189-192. (1991).

[5] S. L. Campbell; *Singular systems of differential equations*, Pitman, San Francisco, Vol. 1, 1980; Vol. 2, 1982.

[6] S. L. Campbell; *Singular systems of differential equations*, Pitman, San Francisco, Vol. 1, 1980; Vol. 2, 1982.

[7] H.-W. Cheng and S. S.-T. Yau; *More explicit formulas for the matrix exponential*, Linear Algebra Appl. 262 (1997), pp. 131-163.

[8] B.N. Datta; *Numerical Linear Algebra and Applications*, Cole Publishing Company, 1995.

[9] L. Dai, *Singular Control Systems*, Lecture Notes in Control and information Sciences Edited by M.Thoma and A.Wyner (1988).

[10] L. Dai, *Impulsive modes and causality in singular systems*, International Journal of Control, Vol 50, number 4 (1989).

[11] I.K. Dassios, *On non-homogeneous linear generalized linear discrete time systems*, Circuits systems and signal processing, Volume 31, Number 5, 1699-1712 (2012).

[12] I.K. Dassios, G. Kalogeropoulos, *On a non-homogeneous singular linear discrete time system with a singular matrix pencil* , Circuits systems and signal processing (2013).

[13] I.K. Dassios, *Optimal solutions for non-consistent singular linear systems of fractional nabla difference equations*, Circuits systems and signal processing, (2014). DOI 10.1007/s00034-014-9930-2

[14] I. Dassios, *On solutions and algebraic duality of generalized linear discrete time systems*, Discrete Mathematics and Applications, Volume 22, No. 5-6, 665–682 (2012).

[15] I. Dassios, *On stability and state feedback stabilization of singular linear matrix difference equations,* Advances in difference equations, 2012:75 (2012).

[16] I. Dassios, *On robust stability of autonomous singular linear matrix difference equations,* Applied Mathematics and Computation, Volume 218, Issue 12, 6912–6920 (2012).

[17] I.K. Dassios, D. Baleanu, *On a singular system of fractional nabla difference equations with boundary conditions,* Boundary Value Problems, 2013:148 (2013).

[18] I. Dassios, D. Baleanu, G. Kalogeropoulos, *On non-homogeneous singular systems of fractional nabla difference equations,* Applied Mathematics and Computation, Volume 227, 112–131 (2014).

[19] I. Dassios, G. Kalogeropoulos, *On the relation between consistent and non consistent initial conditions of singular discrete time systems,* Dynamics of continuous, discrete and impulsive systems Series A: Mathematical Analysis, Volume 20, Number 4a, pp. 447–458 (2013).

[20] Dassios, I., and C. Kontzalis. *On the stability of equilibrium for a foreign trade model.* Proceedings of the 32nd IASTED International Conference. 2012.

[21] Dassios, I., C. Kontzalis, and G. Kalogeropoulos. *A stability result on a reformulated Samuelson economical model.* Proceedings of the 32nd IASTED International Conference. 2012.

[22] I. Dassios, G. Kalogeropoulos, *On the stability of equilibrium for a reformulated foreign trade model of three countries.* Journal of Industrial Engineering International, Springer, (2014) 10:71 DOI 10.1007/s40092-014-0071-9.

[23] I. Dassios, *On a boundary value problem of a class of generalized linear discrete time systems,* Advances in Difference Equations, Springer, 2011:51 (2011).

[24] I.K. Dassios, *Solutions of higher-order homogeneous linear matrix differential equations for consistent and non-consistent initial conditions: regular case*, ISRN Mathematical Analysis 2011 (2011) 183795 (14pp.).

[25] I. Dassios, A. Zimbidis, C. Kontzalis. *The Delay Effect in a Stochastic MultiplierAccelerator Model.* Journal of Economic Structures 2014, 3:7.

[26] I. Dassios, A. Zimbidis, *The classical Samuelson's model in a multi-country context under a delayed framework with interaction,* Dynamics of continuous, discrete and impulsive systems Series B: Applications & Algorithms, Volume 21, Number 4-5b pp. 261–274 (2014).

[27] G. Doetsch, *Introduction to the theory and application of the Laplace transformation*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[28] R. F. Gantmacher; *The theory of matrices I, II*, Chelsea, New York, (1959).

[29] E. Grispos, S. Giotopoulos, G. Kalogeropoulos; *On generalised linear discrete-time regular delay systems.*, J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci., Math. Ser. 13, No.2, 179-187, (2000).

[30] E. Grispos, G. Kalogeropoulos, M. Mitrouli, *On generalised linear discrete-time singular delay systems.*, Lipitakis, Elias A. (ed.), HERCMA 2001. Proceedings of the 5th Hellenic-European conference on computer mathematics and its applications, Athens, Greece, September 20-22, 2001. 2 volumes. Athens: LEA. 484-486 (2002).

[31] E. Grispos, G. Kalogeropoulos, I. Stratis, *On generalised linear discrete-time singular delay systems.*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 245, No.2, 430-446 (2000).

[32] E. Grispos, *Singular generalised autonomous linear differential systems.*, Bull. Greek Math. Soc. 34, 25-43 (1992).

[33] Kaczorek, T.; *General response formula for two-dimensial linear systems with variable coefficients.* IEEE Trans. Aurom. Control Ac-31, 278-283, (1986).

[34] Kaczorek, T.; *Equivalence of singular 2-D linear models.* Bull. Polish Academy Sci., Electr. Electrotechnics, 37, (1989).

[35] T. Kaczorek, *Selected problems of fractional systems theory.* Vol. 411. Springer (2011).

[36] T. Kaczorek, *Application of the Drazin inverse to the analysis of descriptor fractional discrete-time linear systems with regular pencils.* Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci 23.1, 2013: 29–33 (2014).

[37] C. Kontzalis, G. Kalogeropoulos. *Controllability and reachability of singular linear discrete time systems.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1489 (2014).

[38] G. I. Kalogeropoulos; *Matrix pencils and linear systems*, Ph.D Thesis, City University, London, (1985).

[39] D. Kytagias; *An Algorithmic Method of Computation of the Reduced set of Quadratic Plcker Relations and Applications in Feedback Problems of Regular and Singular Control Systems*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Athens, Greece, 1993.

[40] J. Klamka, J. Wyrwa, *Controllability of second-order infinite-dimensional systems.* Syst. Control Lett. 57, No. 5, 386–391 (2008).

[41] J. Klamka, *Controllability and minimum energy control problem of fractional discrete-time systems*, Chapter in monograph New Trends in Nanotechnology and Fractional Calculus. Springer-Verlag. New York. pp. 503–509 (2010).

[42] J. Klamka, *Controllability of dynamical systems*, Matematyka Stosowana, 50, no.9, pp.57-75, (2008).

[43] I. E. Leonard; *The matrix exponential*, SIAM Review Vol. 38, No. 3 (1996), pp. 507-512.

[44] F. L. Lewis; *A survey of linear singular systems*, Circuits Syst. Signal Process. 5, 3-36, (1986).

[45] F.L. Lewis; *Recent work in singular systems*, Proc. Int. Symp. Singular systems, pp. 20-24, Atlanta, GA, (1987).

[46] F. L. Lewis; *A review of 2D implicit systems, Automatica (Journal of IFAC)*, v.28 n.2, p.345-354, (1992).

[47] M. Mitrouli, G. Kalogeropoulos; *A compound matrix algorithm for the computation of the Smith form of a polynomial matrix.*, Numer. Algorithms 7, no. 2-4, 145–159, (1994).

[48] Ogata, K: Discrete Time Control Systems. Prentice Hall, (1987).

[49] W.J. Rugh; *Linear system theory*, Prentice Hall International (Uk), London (1996).

[50] J.T. Sandefur; *Discrete Dynamical Systems*, Academic Press, (1990).

[51] G.W. Steward and J.G. Sun; *Matrix Perturbation Theory*, Oxford University Press, (1990).

[52] L. Verde-Star; *Operator identities and the solution of linear matrix difference and differential equations*, Studies in Applied Mathematics 91 (1994), pp. 153-177.

[53] D.N. Vizireanu; *A fast, simple and accurate time-varying frequency estimation method for single-phase electric power systems*, Measurement 45, (5), 1331-3, (2012).

[54] D.N. Vizireanu, S.V. Halunga, *Simple, fast and accurate eight points amplitude estimation method of sinusoidal signals for DSP based instrumentation*, Journal of Instrumentation, 7(4), (2012).